

... and trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 SEPIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 07/586,391 09/18/90 HA1R 2102.001-CON EXAMINER ANSEL M. SCHWARTZ NGUYEN, H 425 N. CRAIG STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 301 PITTSBURGH, PA 15213 Tus is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. DATE MAILED: COMMISSIONER OF PATERITS AND TRADEMARKS 09/21/92 This application has been examined Responsive to communication filed on A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. days from the date of this letter. 35 U.S.C. 133 THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. 4. Notice of informal Patent Application, Form PTO-152. SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. 2 D Claims 1-10, 14, 16 - 20 are withdrawn from consideration. 4 D Claims_23 5. Claims 6. Claims_ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _ are acceptable. not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948). Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on ____ examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). ____ has (have) been 🔲 approved by the 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed on ____ _____, has been 🔲 approved. 🔲 disapproved (see explanation). 12. Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received not been received not been received been filed in parent application, serial no. _ : filed on . 13.

Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

PTOL-326 (Rev. 9-89)

Serial No. 07/586391

Art Unit 2313

- 1. The amendment filed on 6/25/92 has been entered. The substitute specification with double spaces between lines has been entered.
- 2. Claims 11-13 and 15, 21-22 are allowable over the prior art of record.

The prior art of record considered as a whole fails to teach or suggest a method for transmitting a desired digital audio signal stored on a first memory of a first party to a second memory of a second party as recited in claims 11 and 15 (the claims, each is considered as a whole) which includes the step of transmitting the desired digital audio signal from the first memory with a transmitter in control and possession of the first party to a receiver having the second memory at a location determined by the second party, the receiver in possession and control of the second party.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Lightner.

See the entire document.

5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second

Serial No. 07/586391

Art Unit 2313

paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Line 9, "the second memory" lacks clear antecedent basis.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection. I.e., newly added claim 23. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See M.P.E.P. § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Nguyen whose, telephone number is (703) 308-1292.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (708) 308-0754.

Hoa T. Nguyen

Primary Examiner, A.U. 2313

September 16, 1992